arXiv:1605.01762v4 [math.AG] 2 Mar 2017

TORSION ORDER OF SMOOTH PROJECTIVE SURFACES

BRUNO KAHN
WITH AN APPENDIX BY J.-L. COLLIOT-THÉLÈNE

Abstract. To a smooth projective variety X whose Chow group of 0-cycles is $\mathbf{Q}$-universally trivial one can associate its torsion order $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$, the smallest multiple of the diagonal appearing in a cycle-theoretic decomposition à la Bloch-Srinivas. We show that $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$ is the exponent of the torsion in the Néron-Severi group of X when X is a surface over an algebraically closed field k, up to a power of the exponential characteristic of k.

Contents

1. Basic properties of the torsion order 2
2. Torsion order for cycle modules 6
3. Extension of functors 8
4. The Rost spectral sequence 8
5. Trivial birational motives of surfaces 10
Appendix A. Cycles de codimension deux, complément à deux anciens articles 15
References 18

Introduction. Let X be a smooth projective irreducible variety over a field k. Assume that $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$: this is the strongest case of "decomposition of the diagonal" à la Bloch-Srinivas [5]. To X is associated its *torsion order* $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$, the smallest multiple of the diagonal of X appearing in such a decomposition (Definition 1.5). This number is also studied by Chatzistamatiou and Levine in [6].

The integer $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$ kills all normalised motivic birational invariants of smooth projective varieties in the sense of Definition 1.1 (Lemma 1.6). In particular, away from $\mathrm{char}\, k$, the exponent of the torsion subgroup of the geometric Néron-Severi group of X divides $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$ (Corollary 5.4); the main result of this paper is that we have equality when X is a surface and k is algebraically closed: this result was announced in [12, Remark 3.1.5 3)]. In the special case where $\mathrm{Tor}(X) = 1$, it

Date: February 27, 2017.

was obtained previously in [17] and [1] (see also Theorem A.1 in the appendix).

The equality follows from a short exact sequence (Corollary 5.4 a)):

$$0 \to CH^2(X_{k(X)})_{\mathrm{tors}} \to \mathrm{Tor}(H^2(X), H^3(X))^2 \to H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(X \times X, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(2)) \to 0 \tag{0.1}$$

where $H^*(X)$ is Betti cohomology of X with integer coefficients in characteristic 0 (for simplicity; in positive characteristic, use l-adic cohomology). It also shows that $CH^2(X_{k(X)})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ is finite (away from the characteristic of k), with a very explicit bound.[1]

The exact sequence (0.1) is a special case of a more general one appearing in Theorem 5.3, which implies in particular the finiteness of $CH^2(X_{k(Y)})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ for any other smooth projective Y, and an explicit bound on its order. See Theorem A.6 for another proof of this finiteness, and a different bound.

Acknowledgements. I thank Arnaud Beauville, Luc Illusie and especially Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène for helpful exchanges. (See Remark 5.6 for further comments.)

1. Basic properties of the torsion order

1.1. **Review of birational motives.** We fix a base field k, and write $\mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}} = \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}(k)$ for the category of smooth projective k-varieties. Recall from [12] the category $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, A)$ of birational Chow motives with coefficients in a commutative ring A: there is a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Sm}(k) & \xrightarrow{h} & \mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, A) \\ & \searrow^{h^{\mathrm{o}}} & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, A) \end{array}$$

where $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k, A)$ is the covariant category of effective Chow motives with coefficients in A (opposite to that of [16]), and Hom groups in $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, A)$ are characterized by the formula

$$\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, A)(h^{\mathrm{o}}(Y), h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)) = CH_0(X_{k(Y)}) \otimes A$$

for $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}(k)$ (with Y irreducible). When $A = \mathbf{Z}$, we simplify the notation to $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}(k), \mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k)$, or even $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}}, \mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}$.

[1] It would be interesting to completely determine $CH^2(X_{k(X)})_{\mathrm{tors}}$: for example, when X is an Enriques surface and $\mathrm{char}\, k = 0$, is it $\mathbf{Z}/2$ or $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^2$?

1.2. **Motivic birational invariants.** Let $X \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}(k)$ be irreducible, with $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$: this condition is equivalent to Bloch-Srinivas' decomposition of the diagonal relative to a closed subset of dimension 0 [5]. By [12, Prop. 3.1.1], this means that the birational motive $h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)$ of X in the category $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$ is trivial, i.e., that the projection map $h^{\mathrm{o}}(X) \to h^{\mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{Spec}\ k) =: \mathbf{1}$ is an isomorphism in $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$. Then $CH_0(X_K) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$ for any field extension K of k (loc. cit., Condition (vi)).

To such an X, we want to associate a numerical invariant. To motivate it, let us introduce a definition:

Definition 1.1. A *motivic invariant* of smooth projective varieties with values in an additive category $\mathcal{A}$ is a functor $F : \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}} \to \mathcal{A}$ which factors through an additive functor $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{eff}} \to \mathcal{A}$. We say that F is *birational* if it further factors through $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}$. The invariant F is *normalised* if $F(\mathrm{Spec}\ k) = 0$.

Remark 1.2. If $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}$ are (stably) birationally equivalent, then $h^{\mathrm{o}}(X) \simeq h^{\mathrm{o}}(Y)$ in $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}$ [12, Prop. 2.3.8]. Hence, to be a motivic birational invariant is stronger than to be a (stable) birational invariant. It is much stronger: $h^{\mathrm{o}}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}$ for S the Barlow surface [2], a complex surface of general type.

Examples 1.3. a) For any cycle module M_* in the sense of Rost [15], any $K \supseteq k$ and any $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $X \mapsto A^0(X_K, M_n)$ (resp. $X \mapsto A_0(X_K, M_n)$) defines a contravariant (resp. covariant) motivic birational invariant with values in $\mathbf{Ab}$, the category of abelian groups [12, Cor. 6.1.3].
b) In particular, for $M_* = K^M_*$ (Milnor K-theory), the functor $X \mapsto A_0(X_K, M_0) = CH_0(X_K)$ is a motivic birational invariant. When $K = k(Y)$ for some $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}$, this is also obvious by the intepretation of $CH_0(X_K)$ as $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(h^{\mathrm{o}}(Y), h^{\mathrm{o}}(X))$.
c) Given a contravariant motivic invariant F, we get two (contravariant) normalised invariants by the formulas

$$\underline{F}(X) = \mathrm{Ker}(F(k) \to F(X)), \quad \overline{F}(X) = \mathrm{Coker}(F(k) \to F(X))$$

and similarly for covariant motivic invariants:

$$\underline{F}(X) = \mathrm{Coker}(F(X) \to F(k)), \quad \overline{F}(X) = \mathrm{Ker}(F(X) \to F(k)).$$

They are birational if F is birational.
d) Suppose that F is a motivic invariant with values in the category of $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$-modules, where p is the exponential characteristic of k (or, more generally, in a $\mathbf{Z}[1/p]$-linear additive category); assume F contravariant

to fix ideas. Then F is birational if and only if, for any $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}$, the map $F(Y) \to F(Y \times \mathbf{P}^1)$ is an isomorphism. This follows from [12, Th. 2.4.2].

Definition 1.4. The category $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}_{\mathrm{norm}}$ is the quotient of $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}$ by the ideal generated by $\mathbf{1}$.

Thus a motivic birational invariant is normalised if and only if it factors through $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}_{\mathrm{norm}}$.

Let $M, N \in \mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}$. By definition, $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}_{\mathrm{norm}}(M,N)$ is the quotient of $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(M,N)$ by the group of morphisms $f : M \to N$ which factor through $\mathbf{1}$. If $M = h^{\mathrm{o}}(Y)$ and $N = h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)$, this gives

$$\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}_{\mathrm{norm}}(h^{\mathrm{o}}(Y), h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)) \simeq \mathrm{Coker}(CH_0(X) \to CH_0(X_{k(Y)})).$$

1.3. **The torsion order.** If now the birational motive of X is trivial in $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$, then the image of $h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)$ in $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}_{\mathrm{norm}}$ is torsion; in other words, there is an integer $n > 0$ such that $n1_{h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)} = 0$ in $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}_{\mathrm{norm}}(h^{\mathrm{o}}(X), h^{\mathrm{o}}(X))$.

Definition 1.5. The smallest such integer n is called the *torsion order* of X, and denoted by $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$. We extend this to arbitrary (connected) X by setting $\mathrm{Tor}(X) = 0$ if $h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)$ is not trivial in $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}(k, \mathbf{Q})$.
If p is the exponential characteristic of k, we write $\mathrm{Tor}^p(X)$ for the part of $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$ which is prime to p (so $\mathrm{Tor}^p(X) = \mathrm{Tor}(X)$ if $\mathrm{char}\, k = 0$).

In $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}$, the identity morphism $1_{h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)}$ is given by $\eta_X \in CH_0(X_{k(X)})$, where η_X is the generic point viewed as a closed point of $X_{k(X)}$. This gives a concrete description of the torsion order:

Lemma 1.6. *Suppose that $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$. Then the torsion order of X is the order n of η_X in the group $CH_0(X_{k(X)})/CH_0(X)$ (it is 0 if and only if η_X has infinite order). Moreover, we have $nF(X) = 0$ for any normalised motivic birational invariant F. In particular,*

$$nCH_0(X_K)_0 = n\frac{CH_0(X_K)}{CH_0(X)} = 0 \quad \textit{for any } K \supseteq k$$

where $CH_0(X_K)_0 = \mathrm{Ker}(CH_0(X_K) \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbf{Z})$.

Proof. The first and second statements are tautological; the third follows as a special case of the second. □

1.4. **Torsion order and index.** Another important invariant is

Definition 1.7. The *index* of an irreducible $X \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}$ is the positive generator of $\mathrm{Im}(\deg : CH_0(X) \to \mathbf{Z})$. We denote it by $I(X)$.

Proposition 1.8. *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}$, irreducible. Write n for its torsion order and d for its index.*
a) If F is a motivic invariant and $\underline{F}$ is as in Example 1.3 c), then we have $d\underline{F}(X) = 0$.
b) n is divisible by d.
c) Suppose $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$. If $x \in CH_0(X)$ is an element of degree d, then $m(x_{k(X)} - d\eta_X) = 0$ in $CH_0(X_{k(X)})$ for some $m > 0$, and $n \mid md$.
d) If $d = 1$ and m is minimal in c), then $n = m$.

Proof. a) Suppose F is contravariant. Let $\alpha \in F(k)$ be such that $\pi^*\alpha = 0$, where $\pi : X \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ is the structural morphism. If $x \in CH_0(X)$ is an element of degree d, it defines a morphism $x : \mathbf{1} \to h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)$ such that $\pi \circ x = d$. Hence $d\alpha = 0$.

b) A diagram chase yields an exact sequence

$$CH_0(X)_0 \to CH_0(X_{k(X)})_0 \to \frac{CH_0(X_{k(X)})}{CH_0(X)} \to \mathbf{Z}/d \to 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where $CH_0(X_K)_0$ was defined in Lemma 1.6 and the last map sends the class of η_X to 1.

c) The first claim follows from (1.1), and the second follows from pushing this identity in $CH_0(X_{k(X)})/CH_0(X)$.

d) If $d = 1$, (1.1) yields a surjection

$$CH_0(X_{k(X)})_0 \twoheadrightarrow \frac{CH_0(X_{k(X)})}{CH_0(X)}.$$

Let $y \in CH_0(X_{k(X)})_0$ mapping to the class of η_X. This means that $\eta_X - y = x_{k(X)}$ for some $x \in CH_0(X)$, and necessarily $\deg(x) = 1$. By Lemma 1.6, we have $ny = 0$ so the conclusion is true for this choice of x. But if $x' \in CH_0(X)$ is of degree 1, then $n(x' - x) = 0$ hence the conclusion remains true when replacing x by x'. □

Remark 1.9. When $d = 1$, we can avoid the recourse to the category $\mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}_{\mathrm{norm}}$: in this case, the morphism $h^{\mathrm{o}}(X) \to \mathbf{1}$ is (noncanonically) split, hence we may consider its kernel $h^{\mathrm{o}}(X)_0 \in \mathbf{Chow}^{\mathrm{o}}$. The endomorphism ring of this birational motive is canonically isomorphic to $CH_0(X_{k(X)})/CH_0(X)$.

1.5. **Change of base field and products.**

Proposition 1.10. *Let K/k be a field extension. Then:*
a) $\operatorname{Tor}(X_K) \mid \operatorname{Tor}(X)$.
b) If k and K are algebraically closed, then $\operatorname{Tor}(X_K) = \operatorname{Tor}(X)$.

Proof. a) is obvious, and b) follows from the rigidity theorem for torsion in Chow groups [13]. □

Proposition 1.11. *For any connected* $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}$, $\mathrm{Tor}(X \times Y) \mid \mathrm{Tor}(X)\,\mathrm{Tor}(Y)$.

Proof. If $\mathrm{Tor}(X) = 0$ or $\mathrm{Tor}(Y) = 0$, this is obvious. Otherwise, let $m > 0$ (resp. $n > 0$) be such that $m1_{h^o(X)}$ (resp. $n1_{h^o(Y)}$) factors through $\mathbf{1}$. Then $mn1_{h^o(X\times Y)} = m1_{h^o(X)} \otimes n1_{h^o(Y)}$ factors through $\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$. □

2. Torsion order for cycle modules

For any abelian group A, write

$$\exp(A) = \inf\{m > 0 \mid mA = 0\}$$

and, by convention, $\exp(A) = 0$ if no such integer m exists. Also write

$$\exp^p(A) = \exp(A[1/p]).$$

2.1. **General case.** We refine the notion of torsion order as follows:

Definition 2.1. Let M be a cycle module. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}$, $K \supseteq k$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, write $F_n(X_K) = \mathrm{Coker}(M_n(K) \to A^0(X_K, M_n))$: then $X \mapsto F_n(X_K)$ is a normalised motivic birational invariant in the sense of Definition 1.1. We set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tor}_K(X, M_n) &= \exp(F_n(X_K)),\\ \mathrm{Tor}(X, M_n) &= \mathrm{lcm}_{K\supseteq k}\,\mathrm{Tor}_K(X, M_n),\\ \mathrm{Tor}(X, M) &= \mathrm{lcm}_n\,\mathrm{Tor}(X, M_n).\end{aligned}$$

where lcm means lower common multiple.

By Lemma 1.6, $\mathrm{Tor}_K(X, M_n) \mid \mathrm{Tor}(X, M_n) \mid \mathrm{Tor}(X)$. Moreover,

Lemma 2.2. $\mathrm{Tor}(X, M_{n-1}) \mid \mathrm{Tor}(X, M_n)$.

Proof. Let K/k be an extension. We have a naturally split exact sequence ([15, Prop. 2.2] and its proof):

$$0 \to A^0(X_K, M_n) \to A^0(X_{K(t)}, M_n) \to \bigoplus_{x\in(\mathbf{A}^1_K)^{(1)}} A^0(X_{K(x)}, M_{n-1}) \to 0.$$

Indeed, $K \mapsto A^0(X_K, M_n)$ defines a cycle module. Comparing with the same exact sequence for $X = \mathrm{Spec}\ k$, we get the conclusion. □

2.2. **Unramified cohomology of degree ≤ 2.** For $K \supseteq k$, we write $\bar{K}$ for an algebraic closure of K and $G_K = Gal(\bar{K}/K)$. Let p be the exponential characteristic of k. We compute $\mathrm{Tor}(X, \mathcal{H}_n)$ for low values of n, where $\mathcal{H}_n$ is the cycle module $K \mapsto H^n_{\text{ét}}(K, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(n-1))$ with $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(n-1) := \varinjlim_{(m,p)=1} \mu_m^{\otimes n-1}$. As is well-known, we have

$$A^0(X_K, \mathcal{H}_n) = \begin{cases} H^0(K, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(-1)) & \text{for } n = 0 \\ H^1(X_K, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})') & \text{for } n = 1 \\ \mathrm{Br}(X_K)[1/p] & \text{for } n = 2. \end{cases}$$

Let X be such that $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$; then $b^1(X) = 0$ and $b^2(X) = \rho(X)$ where $b^i(X)$ (resp. $\rho(X)$) denotes the i-th Betti number (resp. the Picard number) of X [12, Prop. 3.1.4 3)]. In particular, we have $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{X/k} = 0$ and for any $K \supseteq k$, $H^1(X_{\bar{K}}, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{NS}(X_{\bar{k}})_{\mathrm{tors}}[1/p]$ and similarly $\mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})\{l\} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{K}}, \mathbf{Z}_l)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ for $l \neq p$, so $\mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{k}})[1/p] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Br}(X_{\bar{K}})[1/p]$. (We neglected Tate twists in these computations.)

In the sequel, we abbreviate $X_{\bar{k}}$ to $\overline{X}$; for simplicity, we assume $I(X) = 1$ so that $H^i(K, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(j)) \to H^i(X_K, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(j))$ is split injective for any K, i, j. The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence then gives isomorphisms (see Definition 2.1 for the notation F_n):

$$F_0(X_K) = 0, \quad F_1(X_K) = (\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}}[1/p])^{G_K}$$

and an exact sequence

$$0 \to H^1(K, \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}))[1/p] \to F_2(X_K) \to (\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X})[1/p])^{G_K}. \tag{2.1}$$

For $K \supseteq \bar{k}$, G_K acts trivially on $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})$ and $\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X})$. Then $H^1(K, \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}))$ $= \mathrm{Hom}(G_K, \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}})$ and the last map in (2.1) is split surjective: indeed, $\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X})[1/p]$ maps to $F_2(X_K)$ by functoriality. This yields:

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be such that $I(X) = 1$ and $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$. Then*

$$\mathrm{Tor}(X, \mathcal{H}_0) = 1$$
$$\mathrm{Tor}(X, \mathcal{H}_1) = \exp^p(\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}})$$
$$\mathrm{Tor}(X, \mathcal{H}_2) = \mathrm{lcm}(\exp^p(\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}}), \exp^p(\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X}))$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$ *is divisible by* $\exp^p(\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}})$ *and* $\exp^p(\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X}))$. □

(Of course, one could recover this conclusion directly by considering the normalised motivic birational functors $X \mapsto \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}}[1/p]$ and $X \mapsto \mathrm{Br}(\overline{X})[1/p]$.)

Remark 2.4. When k is algebraically closed, the above computation yields $\mathrm{Tor}_k(X, \mathcal{H}_1) = \exp^p(\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tors}})$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_k(X, \mathcal{H}_2) = \exp^p(\mathrm{Br}(X))$.

When $\dim X = 2$, $\exp^p(\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}}) = \exp^p(\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X}))$ by Poincaré duality. We shall see in Corollary 5.4 that, then, $\mathrm{Tor}^p(\overline{X}) = \exp^p(\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}})$ $= \exp^p(\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X}))$. In view of Proposition 2.3, this also yields

$$\mathrm{Tor}^p(X) = \mathrm{Tor}(X, \mathcal{H}) \quad \text{if } \dim X \leq 2. \tag{2.2}$$

Question 2.5. Is the equality (2.2) true in general? In other words, does the cycle module $\mathcal{H}_*$ always compute the torsion index?

3. Extension of functors

Definition 3.1. If F is a contravariant functor from smooth k-schemes of finite type to abelian groups, we extend it to smooth k-schemes essentially of finite type by the formula

$$\tilde{F}(X) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{X}} F(\mathcal{X}) \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathcal{X}$ runs through the smooth models of finite type of X/k.

Note that if $F(X) = A^n_{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$, then F is defined on all smooth k-schemes (not necessarily of finite type), but does not commute with filtering colimits; so the natural map

$$\tilde{A}^n_{\mathrm{alg}}(X) \to A^n_{\mathrm{alg}}(X)$$

is not an isomorphism in general, see [12, Rk. 2.3.10 2)]. By contrast, we have:

Lemma 3.2. *For any cycle module M, the functors $A^p(-, M_q)$ of §4 below commute with filtering colimits of smooth schemes.*

Proof. This is obvious, since the same is true for the cycle complexes of [15]. □

As a special case, one recovers the commutation of Chow groups with filtering colimits [3, Lemma 1A.1].

4. The Rost spectral sequence

Let M be a cycle module. For any smooth X/k, recall its *cycle cohomology with coefficients in M*:

$$A^p(X, M_q) = H^p(\cdots \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(p)}} M_{q-p}(k(x)) \to \dots)$$

where the differentials are defined through Rost's axioms. We assume:

(i) $M_n = 0$ for $n < 0$;
(ii) $M_0(K) = A$ for any K/k, where A is a torsion-free abelian group.

By Rost's axioms [15], there is then a canonical homomorphism of cycle modules

$$K^M \otimes A \to M$$

where K^M is the cycle module given by Milnor's K-theory. For any $n \geq 0$, this yields a *surjective homomorphism*

$$CH^n(X) \otimes A = A^n(X, K_n^M \otimes A) \twoheadrightarrow A^n(X, M_n) =: A_M^n(X). \tag{4.1}$$

We may thus think of $A_M^n(X)$ as the group of cycles of codimension n modulo "M-equivalence".

Examples 4.1. 1) For $M = K^M \otimes A$, we get $A_M^n(X) = CH^n(X) \otimes A$.
2) Let H be Betti cohomology (in characteristic 0) or l-adic cohomology (in characteristic $\neq l$): in the first case, let $A = \mathbf{Z}$ and in the second case let $A = \mathbf{Z}_l$. For a function field K/k, set

$$\mathbf{H}_n(K) := \tilde{H}^n(\text{Spec } K, A(n))$$

see Definition 3.1. (This is not the cycle module $\mathcal{H}$ considered in Subsection 2.2.) By [4, Th. 7.3] and [11, proof of Prop. 4.5], one has

$$A_{\mathbf{H}}^n(X) = A_{\text{alg}}^n(X) \otimes A$$

where $A_{\text{alg}}^n(X)$ is the group of cycles of codimension n on X, modulo algebraic equivalence.

We now take two smooth k-varieties X, Y, and study the Rost spectral sequence [15, Cor. 8.2] attached to the first projection $\pi : Y \times X \to Y$:

$$E_1^{p,q}(r) = \bigoplus_{y \in Y^{(p)}} A^q(X_{k(y)}, M_{r-p}) \Rightarrow A^{p+q}(Y \times X, M_r) \tag{4.2}$$

abutting to the coniveau filtration on $A^{p+q}(Y \times X, M_r)$ with respect to Y. Note that $A^q(X_{k(y)}, M_{r-p}) = 0$ for $p + q > r$ by Condition (i) on M, hence $E_1^{p,q}(r) = 0$ in that range.

Take $r = 2$: we only have to consider $p + q \leq 2$. By definition, we have for a function field K/k (see (4.1) for the notation A_M^q):

$$A^q(X_K, M_q) = \varinjlim_U A_M^q(X \times U) =: \tilde{A}_M^q(X_K)$$

where U runs through smooth models of K as above (see Lemma 3.2). This yields

$$E_2^{0,2}(2) = \tilde{A}^2_M(X_{k(Y)})$$
$$E_2^{1,1}(2) = \mathrm{Coker}\Big(A^1(X_{k(Y)}, M_2) \to \bigoplus_{y\in Y^{(1)}} \tilde{A}^1_M(X_{k(y)})\Big)$$
$$E_2^{2,0}(2) = \mathrm{Coker}\Big(\bigoplus_{y\in Y^{(1)}} A^0(X_{k(y)}, M_1) \to Z^2(Y)\otimes A\Big).$$

The latter group is a quotient of $A^2_M(Y)$ (consider the maps $M_1(k(y))$ $\to A^0(X_{k(y)}, M_1)$). If X has a 0-cycle of degree 1, the map $A^2_M(Y) \to A^2_M(Y\times X)$ is split, hence $\pi^* : A^2_M(Y) \to E_2^{2,0}(2)$ is an isomorphism. Thus $E_2 = E_\infty$ in the Rost spectral sequence. We summarise this discussion:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathrm{gr}^*_Y A^2_M(X\times Y)$ be the associated graded to the coniveau filtration relative to Y. Assume that X has a 0-cycle of degree 1. Then we have isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{gr}^0_Y A^2_M(X\times Y) = \tilde{A}^2_M(X_{k(Y)})$$
$$\mathrm{gr}^1_Y A^2_M(X\times Y) = \mathrm{Coker}(A^1(X_{k(Y)}, M_2) \to \bigoplus_{y\in Y^{(1)}} \tilde{A}^1_M(X_{k(y)}))$$
$$\mathrm{gr}^2_Y A^2_M(X\times Y) = A^2_M(Y).$$

Moreover, we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \to A^1(Y, M_2) \to A^1(Y\times X, M_2) \to A^1(X_{k(Y)}, M_2) \to \bigoplus_{y\in Y^{(1)}} \tilde{A}^1_M(X_{k(y)}) \to A^2_M(Y\times X)/A^2_M(Y). \tag{4.3}$$

5. Trivial birational motives of surfaces

We start with a special case of Proposition 4.2:

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose k algebraically closed, and let X/k be a smooth projective variety such that $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{X/k} = 0$. Then for any smooth Y, there is an exact sequence*

$$CH^2(Y) \oplus \mathrm{Pic}(Y)\otimes \mathrm{NS}(X) \oplus CH^2(X) \to CH^2(Y\times X) \to CH^2(X_{k(Y)})/CH^2(X) \to 0 \tag{5.1}$$

where the maps $CH^2(X), CH^2(Y) \to CH^2(Y\times X)$ are induced by the two projections, and the map $\mathrm{Pic}(Y)\otimes\mathrm{NS}(X) \to CH^2(Y\times X)$ is given by the cross-product of cycles.

A version of this theorem is found in Merkurjev's appendix [14]; I thank J.-L. Colliot-Thélène for pointing out this reference.

Proof. Consider the Rost spectral sequence (4.2) for the cycle module $M = K^M$. Since $\mathrm{Pic}^0_{X/k} = 0$, we have $\mathrm{NS}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pic}(X_{k(y)})$ for any $y \in Y^{(1)}$, hence

$$E_2^{1,1} = \mathrm{Coker}(A^1(X_{k(Y)}, K_2) \to Z^1(Y) \otimes \mathrm{NS}(X)).$$

Then the natural map $k(Y)^* \otimes \mathrm{NS}(X) \to A^1(X_{k(Y)}), K_2)$ realises $E_2^{1,1}$ as a quotient of $\mathrm{Pic}(Y) \otimes \mathrm{NS}(X)$. We conclude by applying Proposition 4.2. □

Theorem 5.1 may be compared with a computation of the cohomology of $Y \times X$. We use l-adic cohomology, neglecting Tate twists: so $H^i(X) := \prod_{l \neq p} H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_l)$, where p is the exponential characteristic of k ($p = 1$ if $\mathrm{char}\, k = 0$). If $k = \mathbf{C}$, we have $H^i(X) \simeq H^i_B(X) \otimes \prod_l \mathbf{Z}_l$, by M. Artin's comparison theorem. We note that the choice of a rational point of X gives a retraction of the map $F(Y) \to F(Y \times X)$ for any contravariant functor $F : \mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}} \to \mathbf{Ab}$; the quotient $F(Y \times X, Y)$ is therefore a direct summand of $F(Y \times X)$. Then the Künneth formula gives split exact sequences

$$0 \to H^3(X) \to H^3(Y \times X, Y) \to \mathrm{Tor}(H^2(Y), H^2(X)) \to 0 \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to H^2(Y) \otimes H^2(X) \oplus H^1(Y) \otimes H^3(X) \oplus H^4(X) \\ \to H^4(Y \times X, Y) \\ \to \mathrm{Tor}(H^2(Y), H^3(X)) \oplus \mathrm{Tor}(H^3(Y), H^2(X)) \to 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

We now make the following

Assumption 5.2. k is algebraically closed, Y is projective and X is a surface such that $CH_0(X_{k(X)}) \otimes \mathbf{Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{Q}$.

Recall that, then, $\mathrm{Alb}(X) = \mathrm{Pic}^0_{X/k} = 0$ and $CH^2(X) = \mathbf{Z}$ (Roĭtman's theorem), so that Theorem 5.1 applies. Recall also that

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(X) &= 0 \\ \mathrm{NS}(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbf{Z}}' &\xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X) \\ H^3(X) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})') \\ H^4(X) &= \hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' = \prod_{l\neq p} \mathbf{Z}_l$. Thus (5.1) and (5.3) yield a commutative diagram (5.4)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(\mathrm{Pic}(Y)\otimes \mathrm{NS}(X)\oplus\mathbf{Z})\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' & \to CH^2(Y\times X,Y)\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \to & \frac{CH^2(X_{k(Y)})}{CH^2(X)}\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' & \to 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle\psi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\varphi} & \\
0\to H^2(Y)\otimes H^2(X)\oplus H^1(Y)\otimes H^3(X)\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' & \xrightarrow{\theta} H^4(Y\times X,Y) & \to \mathrm{Tor}(H^2(Y),H^3(X))\oplus\mathrm{Tor}(H^3(Y),H^2(X))\to 0.
\end{array}$$

An obvious generalisation of the exact sequence (1.1) boils down to an isomorphism

$$CH_0(X_{k(Y)})_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} CH_0(X_{k(Y)})/CH_0(X).$$

In (5.4), the left vertical map ψ is diagonal; its cokernel is

$$\mathrm{Coker}\,\psi = H^2_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y)\otimes \mathrm{NS}(X)\oplus H^1(Y)\otimes H^3(X)$$

where $H^2_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y) := \mathrm{Coker}\,\mathrm{cl}^1_Y$, and its kernel is $\mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)\otimes\mathrm{NS}(X)\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}'$ (we use here that $H^2_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y)$ is torsion-free). The snake lemma thus yields an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(5.5)\quad &\mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)\otimes\mathrm{NS}(X)\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y} \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi \\ &\to H^2_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y)\otimes\mathrm{NS}(X)\oplus H^1(Y)\otimes H^3(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{Coker}\,\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y} \to \mathrm{Coker}\,\varphi \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

To go further, we use étale motivic cohomology as in [11]; the cycle class map $\mathrm{cl}^2_{X\times X}$ extends to an étale cycle class map [11, (3-1)]:

$$\tilde{\mathrm{cl}}^2_{Y\times X,Y} : H^4_{\text{ét}}(Y\times X, Y, \mathbf{Z}(2))\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \to H^4(Y\times X, Y).$$

Theorem 5.3. *Under Assumption 5.2,* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}$ *and* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\tilde{\mathrm{cl}}^2_{Y\times X,Y}$ *are torsion-free; the exact sequence* (5.5) *yields a surjection*

$$\mathrm{Pic}^0(Y)\otimes\mathrm{NS}(X)\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}$$

and an exact sequence of finite groups

$$\begin{aligned}(5.6)\quad 0\to \mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi \to H^2_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y)\otimes\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}\oplus H^1(Y)\otimes H^3(X) \\ \to H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(Y\times X, Y; (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2)) \to \mathrm{Coker}\,\varphi \to 0\end{aligned}$$

where $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(Y\times X, Y; (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2)) := \varinjlim_{(m,p)=1} H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(Y\times X, Y; \mu_m^{\otimes 2})$. *In particular,* $CH_0(X_{k(Y)})/CH_0(X)[1/p] \simeq CH_0(X_{k(Y)})_{\mathrm{tors}}[1/p]$ *is finite.*

Proof. This proof is ugly, mainly because the Leray spectral sequence for étale motivic cohomology relative to the projection $(Y\times X, Y)\to Y$ does not behave as well as the spectral sequence (4.2). So, instead of comparing directly étale motivic and l-adic cohomology, we have to wiggle through.

We have a commutative diagram

(5.7)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^3_{\text{ét}}(Y\times X, Y, (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \varinjlim_{(m,p)=1} H^3_{\text{ét}}(Y\times X, Y, \mu_m^{\otimes 2}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^4_{\text{ét}}(Y\times X, Y, \mathbf{Z}(2))\otimes \hat{\mathbf{Z}}' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathrm{cl}}^2_{Y\times X,Y}} & H^4(Y\times X, Y) \end{array}$$

in which the right vertical map is injective, because $H^3(Y\times X, Y)$ is torsion by (5.2). Thus $\operatorname{Ker}\tilde{\mathrm{cl}}^2_{Y\times X,Y}$ is torsion-free, and so is its subgroup $\operatorname{Ker}\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}$. But the image of α in (5.5) is divisible, hence a direct summand. Therefore the image of β is torsion-free, hence 0. So we get the surjection promised in the theorem, and an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(5.8)\quad 0\to \operatorname{Ker}\varphi \to H^2_{\text{tr}}(Y)\otimes \mathrm{NS}(X)\oplus H^1(Y)\otimes H^3(X) \\ \to \operatorname{Coker}\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}\to \operatorname{Coker}\varphi\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi$ is finitely generated; since it is torsion it must be finite, hence $CH_0(X_{k(Y)})/CH_0(X)[1/p]$ is finite.

We now deduce from [11, Th. 1.1] the following surjection:

$$(5.9)\qquad H^3_{\text{nr}}(Y\times X, Y; (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2)) \twoheadrightarrow (\operatorname{Coker}\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y})_{\text{tors}}$$

(if $k=\mathbf{C}$, this is due to Colliot-Thélène–Voisin [10, Th. 3.7], with Betti cohomology instead of l-adic cohomology). This map has divisible kernel; however, $Z\mapsto H^3_{\text{nr}}(Y\times Z, Y; (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2))$ is a normalised motivic birational invariant, hence $H^3_{\text{nr}}(Y\times X, Y; (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2))$ is killed by $\operatorname{Tor}(X)$ and therefore finite; so (5.9) is an isomorphism.

Let $M=\operatorname{Coker}\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}/\text{tors}$; by [11, Cor. 3.5], this is actually $\operatorname{Coker}\tilde{\mathrm{cl}}^2_{Y\times X,Y}$, although we won't use it. The composition of the map γ of (5.5) with the projection $p:\operatorname{Coker}\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}\to M$ has image isomorphic to $H^2_{\text{tr}}(Y)\otimes(\mathrm{NS}(X)/\text{tors})$.

I claim that $p\circ\gamma$ is surjective. To see this, choose a retraction ρ of the map θ in Diagram (5.4); composing $\rho\circ\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}$ with the projection to $\operatorname{Coker}\psi$, we get an induced map

$$CH^2(X_{k(Y)})/CH^2(X)\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}'\to\operatorname{Coker}\psi$$

whose composition with $\operatorname{Coker}\psi\to H^2_{\text{tr}}(Y)\otimes(\mathrm{NS}(X)/\text{tors})$ is 0 since $CH^2(X_{k(Y)})/CH^2(X)$ is torsion. This shows that ρ induces a map

$$\bar\rho:\operatorname{Coker}\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X,Y}\to H^2_{\text{tr}}(Y)\otimes(\mathrm{NS}(X)/\text{tors})$$

factoring through a left inverse of the inclusion $H^2_{\text{tr}}(Y)\otimes(\mathrm{NS}(X)/\text{tors})\hookrightarrow M$ induced by γ. But $\gamma\otimes\mathbf{Q}$ is an isomorphism, since $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi$ and $\operatorname{Coker}\varphi$ are torsion; therefore $p\circ\gamma$ is surjective as claimed.

Chasing in (5.8) with this information and using the isomorphism (5.9) now yields the exact sequence (5.6). □

Corollary 5.4. *a) Suppose $Y = X$. Then we have a commutative diagram of short exact sequences:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0\to & CH^2(X\times X)\otimes\hat{\mathbf{Z}}' & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{cl}^2_{X\times X}} & H^4(X\times X) & \to H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(X\times X,(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2))\to 0\\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \| & \\ 0\to & CH^2(X_{k(X)})/CH^2(X)[1/p] & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathrm{Tor}(H^2(X),H^3(X))^2 & \to H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(X\times X,(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2))\to 0. & & \end{array}$$

b) In particular, the first map of (5.1) (for $Y = X$) has p-primary torsion kernel, and $\mathrm{Tor}^p(X) = \exp^p(\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tors}})$.

Proof. Indeed, we have $\mathrm{Pic}^0(X) = H^1(X) = H^2_{\mathrm{tr}}(X) = 0$, and Theorem 5.3 boils down to the injectivity of $\mathrm{cl}^2_{X\times X,X}$ and φ, plus an isomorphism $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(X\times X, X; (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Coker}\,\varphi$. But $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(X,(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2)) = 0$, hence $H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(X\times X,(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^3_{\mathrm{nr}}(X\times X, X; (\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})'(2))$. □

Corollary 5.5. *If Y is a curve, we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to CH^2(X_{k(Y)})_{\mathrm{tors}}[1/p] \to H^1(Y)\otimes H^3(X) \to \mathrm{Coker}\,\mathrm{cl}^2_{Y\times X} \to 0.$$

Proof. In this case, $H^2_{\mathrm{tr}}(Y) = 0$ and the target of φ is 0. □

Remarks 5.6. a) The special case $\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tors}} = 0$ and $\mathrm{char}\,k = 0$ of Corollary 5.4 b) was proven in [1, Cor. 1.10] and [17, Prop. 2.2]. As Colliot-Thélène points out, the methods of [8] imply that for any smooth projective k-variety X with $b^1 = 0$ and $b^2 = \rho$, $\mathrm{Ker}(CH^2(X_K) \to CH^2(X_{\bar{K}}))$ is killed by $\exp(\mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathrm{tors}})\cdot\exp(\mathrm{Br}(X))$ (see Theorem A.1).

b) In the first version of this paper, I had proven Corollaries 5.4 and 5.5 but had doubts on the finiteness of $CH_0(X_{k(Y)})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ in general. Colliot-Thélène provided a proof based on his 1991 CIME course [9], see Theorem A.6. This encouraged me to find a proof in the spirit of this note, and Theorem 5.3 is the result. Note that the group Θ appearing in [9, Th. 7.1] coincides with $H^4_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbf{Z}(2))_{\mathrm{tors}}$. In this spirit, a weaker analogue of [9, Th. 7.3] is the following fact: for any field F, the functor $\mathbf{Sm}^{\mathrm{proj}}(F) \ni Z \mapsto \mathrm{Ker}(H^4_{\text{ét}}(Z,\mathbf{Z}(2)) \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(Z_{\bar{F}},\mathbf{Z}(2))$ is a normalised motivic birational invariant (indeed, the map $H^2_{\text{ét}}(Y,\mathbf{Z}(1)) \to H^2_{\text{ét}}(Y_{\bar{F}},\mathbf{Z}(1))$ is injective for any smooth projective Y). As a consequence, $\mathrm{Ker}(H^4_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbf{Z}(2)) \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X_{\bar{F}},\mathbf{Z}(2))$ is killed by $\mathrm{Tor}(X)$ if X has a trivial birational motive.

Appendix A. Cycles de codimension deux, complément à deux anciens articles

par Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène

A.1. **Introduction.** On donne des conséquences faciles de résultats établis dans [8] (avec W. Raskind) et dans le rapport de synthèse [9], en particulier dans une section où je développais des arguments de S. Saito et de P. Salberger.

A.2. **Notations et rappels.** Pour simplifier les énoncés, on se limite ici aux variétés définies sur un corps de caractéristique nulle. On note $\overline{k}$ une clôture algébrique de k. Pour une telle k-variété X, supposée projective, lisse, géométriquement connexe sur le corps k, on note $\overline{X} = X \times_k \overline{k}$. On note b_i le i-ième nombre de Betti l-adique de $\overline{X}$. On sait qu'il est indépendant du nombre premier l. On note ρ le rang du groupe de Néron-Severi géométrique $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})$. Pour tout entier i, on note ici $H^i(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(j)) := \prod_l H^i_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Z}_l(j))$. Le sous-groupe de torsion $H^i(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(j))_{\mathrm{tors}}$ est fini. On note e_i son exposant. Pour $k = \mathbf{C}$ le corps des complexes, $H^i_{Betti}(X(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}_l \simeq H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{Z}_l)$. On sait que l'on a un isomorphisme de groupes finis $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}} = H^2(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(1))_{\mathrm{tors}}$. Le groupe de Brauer $\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X})$ de $\overline{X}$ est extension du groupe fini $H^3(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(1))_{\mathrm{tors}}$ par $(\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^{b_2-\rho}$. La condition $H^1(X, O_X) = 0$ équivaut à $b_1 = 0$. La condition $H^2(X, O_X) = 0$ équivaut (théorie de Hodge) à $\rho = b_2$, c'est-à-dire à la finitude du groupe de Brauer de $\overline{X}$. Pour X une variété lisse, on note $CH^i(X)$ le groupe de Chow des cycles de codimension i de X. Pour X une variété projective, on note $CH_i(X)$ le groupe de Chow des cycles de dimension i de X.

A.3. **Exposant de torsion.** L'énoncé suivant aurait pu être inclus dans [8]. Comme indiqué formellement ci-dessus, l'entier e_i est l'annulateur de la torsion du i-ème groupe de cohomologie entière.

Théorème A.1. *Soit k un corps de caractéristique zéro. Soit X une k-variété projective, lisse, connexe, satisfaisant $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. Supposons que le réseau $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})/\mathrm{tors}$ admet une base globalement respectée par le groupe de Galois absolu de k.*

(a) Supposons $b_1 = 0$ et $\rho = b_2$. Alors le groupe de torsion $\mathrm{Ker}[CH^2(X) \to CH^2(X_{\overline{k}})]$ est annulé par le produit $e_2.e_3$, qui est aussi le produit de l'exposant de $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ et de l'exposant du groupe $\mathrm{Br}(\overline{X})$.

(b) Si de plus $b_3 = 0$, alors $CH^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ est annulé par $e_2.e_3.e_4$.

Démonstration. Il suffit de suivre les démonstrations du §3 de [8]. On note $H^i(k, \bullet)$ les groupes de cohomologie galoisienne.

Sous l'hypothèse $H^1(X, O_X) = 0$, le théorème 1.8 de [8] donne une suite exacte de modules galoisiens

$$0 \to D_0 \to H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2) \to H^2(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(1))_{\mathrm{tors}} \to 0$$

où D_0 est uniquement divisible. Le groupe $K_2\overline{k}$ est uniquement divisible. On a la suite exacte

$$0 \to H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2)/K_2\overline{k} \to K_2\overline{k}(X)/K_2\overline{k} \to K_2\overline{k}(X)/H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2) \to 0.$$

Comme on a supposé $X(k) \neq \emptyset$, on a $H^1(k, K_2\overline{k}(X)/K_2\overline{k}) = 0$ [7, Theorem 1]. On voit alors que le groupe $H^1(k, K_2\overline{k}(X)/H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2))$ est un sous-groupe de $H^2(k, H^2(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(1))_{\mathrm{tors}})$ et donc est annulé par e_2.

Sous les deux hypothèses $H^2(X, O_X) = 0$ et $H^1(X, O_X) = 0$ (cette dernière garantissant $Pic(\overline{X}) = \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})$), le théorème 2.12 de [8] donne une suite exacte de modules galoisiens

$$0 \to D_1 \to \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}) \otimes \overline{k}^* \to H^1(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2) \to [D_2 \oplus H^3(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(2))_{\mathrm{tors}}] \to 0,$$

où D_1 et D_2 sont uniquement divisibles. L'hypothèse que l'action du groupe de Galois sur $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})/\mathrm{tors}$ est triviale assure via le théorème 90 de Hilbert que l'on a $H^1(k, \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}) \otimes \overline{k}^*) = 0$. De la suite exacte ci-dessus on déduit que $H^1(k, H^1(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2))$ est un sous-groupe de $H^1(k, H^3(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(2))_{\mathrm{tors}})$ et donc est annulé par e_3.

La proposition 3.6 de [8] fournit une suite exacte

$$H^1(k, K_2\overline{k}(X)/H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2)) \to \mathrm{Ker}[CH^2(X) \to CH^2(\overline{X})] \to H^1(k, H^1(\overline{X}, \mathcal{K}_2)).$$

On voit donc que $\mathrm{Ker}[CH^2(X) \to CH^2(\overline{X})]$ est annulé par le produit $e_2.e_3$.

Par Bloch et Merkurjev-Suslin, $CH^2(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}}$ est un sous-quotient de $H^3_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(2))$ [9, Théorème 3.3.2]. Si $b_3 = 0$, alors $CH^2(\overline{X})_{\mathrm{tors}}$, est un sous-quotient de $H^4(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(2))_{\mathrm{tors}}$, d'exposant e_4. Sous les hypothèses du théorème, on obtient alors que $CH^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ est annulé par $e_2.e_3.e_4$. □

Remarques A.2. 1) Soit Y une variété projective et lisse sur le corps des complexes $\mathbf{C}$ satisfaisant les hypothèses du théorème. Pour tout corps k contenant $\mathbf{C}$, le théorème s'applique à la k-variété $X = Y \times_{\mathbf{C}} k$. L'hypothèse sur l'action galoisienne est alors automatiquement satisfaite pour la k-variété X, car on a $\mathrm{NS}(Y) = \mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})$.

2) Lorque $e_2 = 1 = e_3$, l'énoncé (a) est le théorème 3.10 b) de [8].

3) Si X est une surface, $e_4 = 1$, et $b_1 = b_3$. En outre, $e_2 = e_3$. Sous les hypothèses du théorème, on trouve que le groupe $CH^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}} =$

$CH_0(X)_{\rm tors}$ est annulé par le carrré de l'exposant de la torsion de $\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X})$.

A.4. **Finitude.** On utilise ici les notations et résultats du §7 de [9].

Théorème A.3. *Soient k un corps de caractéristique zéro et $\overline{k}$ une clôture algébrique. Soit X une k-variété projective et lisse, géométriquement intègre. Notons $\overline{X} = X \times_k \overline{k}$. Notons $b_i \in \mathbf{N}$ les nombres de Betti l-adiques de $\overline{X}$ et $\rho = rang(\mathrm{NS}(\overline{X}))$. Supposons $H^1(X, O_X)$=0, ce qui équivaut à $b_1 = 0$. Supposons aussi $H^2(X, O_X) = 0$, ce qui équivaut à $\rho = b_2$. Supposons $b_3 = 0$. Alors le conoyau de l'application*

$$H^3_{\text{ét}}(k, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(2)) \oplus [H^1(X, \mathcal{K}_2) \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}] \to H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(2))$$

est d'exposant fini.

Démonstration. L'hypothèse $b_3 = 0$ implique que le groupe $H^3_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}(2))$ s'identifie au groupe fini $H^4_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \hat{\mathbf{Z}}(2))_{\rm tors}$. L'énoncé est alors une conséquence immédiate du Théorème 7.3 de [9], auquel je renvoie pour les notations. □

Théorème A.4. *Soient k un corps de caractéristique zéro et $\overline{k}$ une clôture algébrique. Soit X une k-variété projective et lisse, géométriquement intègre. Notons $\overline{X} = X \times_k \overline{k}$. Supposons que chacun des entiers b_1, $b_2 - \rho$ et b_3 associés à $\overline{X}$ est nul. Supposons $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. Alors il existe un entier $N > 0$ annulant le groupe $CH^2(X)_{\rm tors}$ et tel que pour tout entier $n > 0$ multiple de N, l'application*

$$CH^2(X)_{\rm tors} \to CH^2(X)/n \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mu_n^{\otimes 2})$$

composée de la projection naturelle et de l'application classe de cycle en cohomologie étale est injective.

Démonstration. Il suffit de combiner le théorème A.3 avec le théorème 7.2 de [9]. □

Remarque A.5. Si X est une surface, l'hypothèse $b_3 = 0$ est impliquée par $b_1 = 0$.

On dit qu'un corps k de caractéristique zéro est à cohomologie galoisienne finie si pour tout module fini galoisien M sur k, tous les groupes de cohomologie galoisienne $H^i(k, M)$ sont finis. Parmi les corps de caractéristique zéro satisfaisant cette propriété, on trouve : les corps algébriquement clos, les corps réels clos, les corps p-adiques, les corps de séries formelles itérées sur un des corps précédents.

Théorème A.6. *Soit k un corps de caractéristique zéro à cohomologie galoisienne finie. Soit K un corps de type fini sur k. Soit X une K-variété projective et lisse satisfaisant $X(K) \neq \emptyset$. Notons $\overline{X} = X \times_K \overline{K}$.*

Supposons que chacun des entiers b_1, $b_2-\rho$ et b_3 associés à $\overline{X}$ est nul. Alors le groupe $CH^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ est fini.

Démonstration. D'après le théorème A.4, il existe un entier $n>0$ tel que le groupe $CH^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ s'identifie à un sous-groupe de l'image de l'application classe de cycle

$$CH^2(X)/n \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X,\mu_n^{\otimes 2}).$$

Soit Y une k-variété intègre de corps des fonctions K. Quitte à restreindre la k-variété Y à un ouvert non vide convenable, il existe un Y-schéma intègre, projectif et lisse $\mathcal{X}\to Y$ dont la fibre générique est la K-variété X. L'application de restriction $CH^2(\mathcal{X})\to CH^2(X)$ est surjective, et les applications classe de cycle $CH^2(X)/n\to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X,\mu_n^{\otimes 2})$ et $CH^2(\mathcal{X})/n\to H^4(\mathcal{X},\mu_n^{\otimes 2})$ sont compatibles. L'image de $CH^2(X)/n\to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X,\mu_n^{\otimes 2})$ est donc dans l'image de la restriction $H^4(\mathcal{X},\mu_n^{\otimes 2})\to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X,\mu_n^{\otimes 2})$. Sous les hypothèses du théorème, les groupes $H^i(W,\mu_n^{\otimes j})$ sont finis pour toute variété W de type fini sur k, en particulier $H^4(\mathcal{X},\mu_n^{\otimes 2})$ est fini. On conclut que $CH^2(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ est fini. □

Remarque A.7. Si X est une K-surface, $b_1=b_3$ et l'hypothèse est simplement que $b_1=0$ et $b_2-\rho=0$, et la conclusion est que $CH_0(X)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ est fini.

References

[1] A. Auel, J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. Parimala *Universal unramified cohomology of cubic fourfolds containing a plane*, *in* Brauer Groups and Obstruction Problems: Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic, (Palo Alto, 2013), A. Auel, B. Hassett, T. Várilly-Alvarado and B. Viray, eds. Progress in Mathematics **320**, Birkhäuser, 2017, 29–56.

[2] R. Barlow *A simply connected surface of general type with $p_g=0$*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 293–301.

[3] S. Bloch Lectures on algebraic cycles (second ed.), Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010.

[4] S. Bloch, A. Ogus *Gersten's conjecture and the homology of schemes*, Ann. Sci. Éc. norm. sup. **7** (1974), 181–201.

[5] S. Bloch, V. Srinivas *Remarks on correspondences and algebraic cycles*, Amer. J. Math. **105** (1983), 1235–1253.

[6] A. Chatzistamatiou, M. Levine *Torsion orders of complete intersections*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.01913.

[7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène *Hilbert's theorem 90 for K_2, with application to the Chow groups of rational surfaces*, Invent. math. **71** (1983) 1–20.

[8] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, W. Raskind *$\mathcal{K}_2$-cohomology and the second Chow group*, Math. Ann. **270** (1985), 165–199.

[9] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène *Cycles algébriques de torsion et K-théorie algébrique*, *in* Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry (CIME, Trento, 1991, E. Ballico, ed.) J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, K. Kato, P. Vojta, Springer L.N.M. **1553** (1993), 1–49.

[10] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, C. Voisin *Cohomologie non ramifiée et conjecture de Hodge entière*, Duke Math. J. **161** (2012), 735–801.
[11] B. Kahn *Classes de cycles motiviques étales*, Alg. and Number Theory **6** (2012), 1369–1407.
[12] B. Kahn, R. Sujatha *Birational motives, I: pure birational motives*, Annals of K-theory **1** (2016), 379–440.
[13] F. Lecomte *Rigidité des groupes de Chow*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 405–426.
[14] A.S. Merkurjev *On the Chow group of cycles of codimension* 2, appendix to D. Barry *Decomposable and indecomposable algebras of degree* 8 *and exponent* 2, Math. Z. **276** (2014), 1113–1132.
[15] M. Rost *Chow groups with coefficients*, Doc. Math. **1** (1996), 319–393.
[16] A. Scholl *Classical motives*, Motives, Proc. Symp. pure Math. **55** (I), 1994, 163–187.
[17] C. Voisin *On the universal CH_0 group of cubic hypersurfaces*, to appear in JEMS.

IMJ-PRG, Case 247, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
E-mail address: bruno.kahn@imj-prg.fr

CNRS, Université Paris Sud, Mathématiques, Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France
E-mail address: jlct@math.u-psud.fr